UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,504	02/11/2002	Pat Muller		5984
20606 KEITH FRANT	7590 02/07/2011 TZ		EXAMINER	
401 WEST STATE STREET			CLERKLEY, DANIELLE A	
SUITE 200 ROCKFORD, I	L 61101		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3643	
			_	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/07/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Asking Commonwell	10/073,504	MULLER, PAT	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DANIELLE CLERKLEY	3643	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 J 2a) ⊠ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under I 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7-9,11-17 and 19-25 is/are pendidal 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5,7-9,11-17 and 19-25 is/are reject 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or contents.	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 2.	cepted or b) objected to by the Education of the Idrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ad in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ite	

Application/Control Number: 10/073,504 Page 2

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 7 currently depends from claim 6; however, claim 6 has been cancelled.
 For purposes of examination, the Examiner has treated claim 7 to depend from claim 1.
 Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 7-9, 11-17 and 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gordon (U.S. Patent No. 4,940,020) in view of Meekins (U.S. Patent No. 4,763,604).
- 4. For claims 1-5, 7-9, 11-17 and 19-25, Gordon discloses a non-electrical dog training aid (10) adapted for use with a dog collar (12), the training aid comprising: a body (18) including a center having a front side (22) and a back side (24) and having two ends, the ends being provided with elongated, transversely lengthwise extending openings (34) for threading the collar therethrough and along the front side of the body; and three non-electrical posts (20) projecting rearwardly from the back side of said body, one of said posts being located longitudinally between the other two of said posts and offset from a plane extending between said other two posts to establish a triangular orientation between the posts when viewed from behind (as discussed in Col. 2, lines

Art Unit: 3643

45-50); said body (18) is rigid, and said body and posts (20) are formed integrally molded plastic (Col. 2, lines 26-32); said posts (20) are of equal length (as shown in Fig. 4); said posts (20) are provided with rounded free ends (Col. 2, lines 40-43); said body (18) is generally planar, with said front side (22) and said back side (24) parallel to one another (Col. 2, lines 34-37); and said plane extends longitudinally through said center of the body and between said ends such that said other two posts (20) are longitudinally aligned with respect to said body (as shown in Fig. 2 and Col. 2, lines 45-50).

5. Gordon fails to specifically disclose the body includes a narrow center having a front side and back side, and first and second opposing free ends extending longitudinally from said center portion, defining upper and lower lobes extending to above and below the center portion. However, Meekins teaches within the same field of endeavor of collar attached articles a body (Fig. 1: 10) including a longitudinally extending narrow center portion and two ends, wherein the ends have two lobes extending to above and below the center; wherein said lobes are rounded when viewed in a line along a transverse axis through the body. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified the body of Gordon to include a bone-shaped body adapted for use with a dog collar as taught by Meekins for providing an aesthetically pleasing shape for accessorizing the dog collar. Further, limitations related to the choice of ornamentation producing no mechanical effect or advantage to the invention, including a mere change in size or shape of a component, is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. In re Rose, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955).

Application/Control Number: 10/073,504 Page 4

Art Unit: 3643

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-26 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Meroth (DE 3344699 A1); Foster (U.S. Patent No. 297,777); Christesen (U.S. Patent No. 464,854); Wolfe, Jr. et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,606,967).
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DANIELLE CLERKLEY whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/073,504 Page 5

Art Unit: 3643

(571) 270-7611. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 8:00 AM - 5:00 PM EST, F 8:00 AM - 4:00 PM EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on (571) 272-6891. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Kimberly S Smith/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3644

/DANIELLE CLERKLEY/ Examiner, Art Unit 3643